

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Santosh S. Chandrachood  
Serial No.: 09/895,047  
Filing Date: June 29, 2001  
Confirmation No.: 9309  
Group Art Unit: 2446  
Examiner: Alicia Baturay  
Title: *Predictively Responding to SNMP Commands*

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY FOR JUNE 2, 2009

Applicant thanks the Examiner for conducting the telephone interview on June 2, 2009, and for the thoughtful consideration of this case. During the telephone interview, Applicant and Examiner discussed a modest set of possible amendments. The Examiner and Applicant agreed to incorporate the limitations of Claims 106-109 into Claims 74, 82, 90 and 98, respectively. Additionally, Claim 78 would now become dependent on Claim 74, Claim 86 on Claim 82, Claim 94 on Claim 90 and Claim 102 on Claim 98. Any subsequent amendments to the claims were not based on reasons related to patentability and Applicant

reserves the right for future commentary concerning the rationale behind these amendments.

No additional fees are believed due. However, please apply any other charges or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-4889 of PATENT CAPITAL GROUP, referencing the attorney docket number referenced above.

Respectfully submitted,

Patent Capital Group  
Attorneys for Applicant

/Thomas J. Frame/

Thomas J. Frame  
Reg. No. 47,232

Date: June 22, 2009

Customer No. 86421